Rachel V

From:	Toni Morrison		
Sent:	Wednesday, May 31, 2023 13:00		
То:	Rachel V		
Cc:	Leah Buchanan		
Subject:	FW: LGOIMA request: Re Further Submission update		

Hi Rachel,

See below – Mr Bradley would like a further submission lodged on his behalf as per the below. Leah – can you please file this under the LGOIMA number allocated. Thanks, much appreciated Toni

From: Neil Bradley <essexarborist@yahoo.co.nz>
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2023 12:48 PM
To: Toni Morrison <toni.morrison@wcrc.govt.nz>
Subject: Re: LGOIMA request: Re Further Submission update

This email is from an external sender. Please be careful with any links or attachments.

Hello Toni,

I understand the contents of your email.

I think it was a major oversight/mistake for Council to offer an interest in privately owned land to other parties. (Whether it be to Maori or anyone else, without them even wanting or asking for that interest).

Unfortunately council's woke, overreaching gestures are, and will come back to bite them on the arse.

Council has needlessly and stupidly overstepped the mark here and have really caused themselves a real issue with trust amongst thousands of ratepayers.

You have my permission to add as submission as offered.

Then hopefully we can draw a line under this ridiculous, inefficient, negligent, woke, waste of tax funds funds and spend taxes on some real issues in our financially deprived district.

Best regards,

On Wed, 31 May 2023 at 12:20 pm, Toni Morrison <<u>toni.morrison@wcrc.govt.nz</u>> wrote:

Dear Mr Bradley,

Further to your information request of 23 May 2023 seeking further information in relation to the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori (SASM) notation on your land, we have processed your request as a request under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act (LGOIMA). The response to your request is set out below.

You are correct that your land is part of the submission by Ngai Tahu and the two Rūnanga, and that their submission seeks that the Hearing Panel amend SASM 104 to refine this area so it just captures the waterway and not any land parcels adjoining the waterway, which would include removal of your land.

Reporting Topic	Submission Point Number $\downarrow \underline{\underline{1}}$	Plan section	Provision	Support/O
Sites of Significance to Māori incl Schedule 3 and arch site appendix 10 and Appendix 4 accidental discovery protocols	5620.349	SCHED 3: SITES AND AREAS OF SIGNIFICANCE TO MÃORI	SASM 104	Amend

Unfortunately it is not possible for staff to simply confirm it will be removed immediately. The requirements are that Ngai Tahu's submission will go through the legal process outlined in the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act, and will be considered by a panel of Commissioners. A decision on refining the areas of SASM 104 will be part of this process.

Given that your land is directly affected by SASM 104, with your agreement, WCRC staff can add a further submission in support of the Ngai Tahu submission points on your behalf. Please confirm whether you would like a further submission lodged.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of the decision to provide this information in response to your request. Information about how to make a complaint is available at <u>www.ombudsman.parliament.nz</u> or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely

Toni Morrison

For and on behalf of

Heather Mabin

Chief Executive

This email is from an external sender. Please be careful with any links or attachments.

Good evening,

Looking through the submissions and amendments from the TTPP, I see a submission from Ngai Tuhu/Ngai Waewae's SASM, (1067, 1068) stating that the land of "significance" should be amended to the "creek" only and not the "Catchment", with no affect to any land parcels adjacent to the river.

So I'm assuming if Maori aren't interested in staking a claim and de-valuing my property, then it would be legally very unwise for WCRC or WDC to try and re zone my land.

Thus there's no apparent reason to re-zone private land, (other than hurting the public servant author's pride, or just to waste a few more millions keeping pointless, glutinous bureaucrats employed).

Please confirm this publicly funded, foolish, labour led, woke ideology, (originally put forward by WCRC to hand over an interest to an uninterested party at our expense), is now finished.

This question is asked under the official information act 1982 and requires answering in the legal timeframes.

Best regards.

On Mon, 22 May 2023 at 2:30 pm, TTPP Info

<<u>info@ttpp.nz</u>> wrote:



Dear Submitter

At the end of April 2023, The Te Tai o Poutini Committee (TTPP) gave notice of the availability and location of the Summary of Submissions.

You are receiving this letter as the Committee received a submission from you on the plan.

The Summary of Submissions on the proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan has been available on the following link <u>www.ttpp.nz</u> since 28 April 2023. There is also a link to the online portal for lodging a further submission.

Thank you if you have already lodged a further submission. Most submitters have been happy to take advantage of the online portal, and we thank you for doing this.

Unfortunately, there have been several errors reported on the summary of submissions. The errors have resulted in the need for additional time to correct the summaries and then allow an additional 10-day period to lodge further submissions. The 10-day period is the minimum required by the Resource Management Act.

The new closing date for further submissions will be considered by the Committee in their meeting on 24 May 2023. You will be notified if the closing date for further submissions is extended.

The Committee wishes to acknowledge the level of participation the community have had in the process.

Please contact me if you have any queries about the Proposed Plan or submission process.

Sincerely

playter.

Rachel Vaughan Acting Project Manager Te Tai o Poutini Plan